Serial No.: 10/666,054

Art Unit: 3725 Examiner: SUHOL, Dmitry

> Page 5 of 9 August 25, 2008

REMARKS

By this amendment, claim 13 was amended. Claims 1-12, 14 and 18 were previously

canceled.

Claims 13, 15-17 and 19-23 remain pending in the application. Reconsideration and

allowance of all of the claims is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments

and the following remarks.

In regard to Rejection of Claims 13, 15-17 and 19, 22, 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner has rejected claims 13, 15-17 and 19, 22, 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Obata et al (JP 2000-003705), Emori et al (JP 55-112114), and

Ginzburg et al. '500, and further in view of Diolot '124. The Applicants believe that the

Examiner's rejections of claims 13, 15-17 and 19, 22, 23 have been addressed and overcome

by the present amendment.

By the present amendment, claim 13 has been amended and now recites the limitation

that:

[...] a first encoder roller adapted to measure a speed of the lithium

or lithium alloy sheet at an entrance of the lamination apparatus;

a first tension roller having a load cell adapted to measure the

tension on the lithium or lithium alloy sheet at the entrance of the

<u>lamination apparatus;</u> [...]

[...]a second encoder roller adapted to measure the speed of the

lithium or lithium alloy film of reduced thickness at an exit of the

lamination apparatus; wherein the thickness of the lithium or

lithium alloy film of reduced thickness is determined by a speed

differential between the first and second encoder roller; [...]

Serial No.: 10/666,054 Art Unit: 3725

Examiner: SUHOL, Dmitry

Page 6 of 9 August 25, 2008

a second tension roller having a load cell adapted to measure the

tension on the lithium or lithium alloy film of reduced thickness at

the exit of the lamination apparatus;

and;

a winding roll for winding the lithium or lithium alloy film of

reduced thickness, said winding roll connected to a driving means,

the driving means responsive to signals from the load cell of the

second tension roller for winding the lithium or lithium alloy film

of reduced thickness [said film] under a controlled tension.

Support for this amendment is found in paragraphs [0035], [0039], and [0041] of the

specification.

At least the above features of claim 13 as amended are not taught by Obata,

Emori, Ginzburg or Diolot, alone or in combination, which combination is not admitted.

None of the reference cited by the Examiner discloses or teaches a first encoder roller

at the entrance of the lamination apparatus which measures the speed of the lithium sheet

prior to entering the lamination apparatus and a second encoder roller which measures the

speed of the lithium or lithium alloy film of reduced thickness at the exit of the lamination

apparatus such that the thickness of the lithium or lithium alloy film of reduced thickness is

determined by the speed differential between the first and second encoder roller.

Furthermore, none of the reference cited disclose or teach a first tension roller having

a load cell adapted to measure the tension on the lithium or lithium alloy sheet at the entrance

of the lamination apparatus; a second tension roller having a load cell adapted to measure the

tension on the lithium or lithium alloy film of reduced thickness at the exit of the lamination

apparatus; and a winding roll connected to a driving means, wherein the driving means is

responsive to signals from the load cell of the second tension roller for winding the lithium or

lithium alloy film of reduced thickness under a controlled tension.

Obata simply discloses a feed roll 8 and a winding roll 9 but does not discloses a

second tension roll link to the winding roll for controlling the tension of the lithium film as it

exits the lamination apparatus.

Serial No.: 10/666,054 Art Unit: 3725

Examiner: SUHOL, Dmitry

Page 7 of 9

Therefore, at least one feature of claim 13 as amended is not taught by Obata, Emori,

Ginzburg or Diolot, alone or in combination.

As such, the Examiner is requested to withdraw his rejection of claim 13 and claims

15-17 and 19, 22, 23 depending therefrom.

In regard to Rejections of Claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner has rejected claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Obata, Emori, Ginzburg, and Diolot, relying on Diolot.

Claim 14 was previously cancelled. The rejection is therefore moot.

In regard to Rejections of Claim 20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner has rejected claim 20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Obata in view of Emori, Ginzburg, and Diolot, and further in view of Martt, U.S. Patent

No. 4,179,913. The Applicants believe that the Examiner's rejection has been addressed and

overcome by the present amendment.

As previously discussed, at least some features of amended claim 13 are not taught by

Obata, Emori, Ginzburg, or Diolot, alone or in combination, which combination is not

admitted.

These deficiencies in Obata, Emori, Ginzburg, or Diolot are not remedied by Martt,

without admitting that Martt can be combined with Obata or Emori and reserving the right to

argue thereagainst in the future.

Martt relates to a "metal strip tensioning apparatus for use in continuous strip

reduction cold mill and method" and discloses two mechanical tensioning unit 28 and 35,

each including three staggered rolls adapted to maintain tension in the metal strips while the

end of a first metal strip is welded to the end of a second metal strip without stopping or

slowing down the cold reduction milling process.

Specifically, Martt makes no mention of a second tension roller having a load cell

adapted to measure the tension on the lithium or lithium alloy film at the exit of the

lamination apparatus linked to the driving means of the winding roller for controlling the

tension on the lithium film.

Serial No.: 10/666,054

Art Unit: 3725

August 25, 2008

Therefore, at least one feature of claim 13 is not taught by Obata, Emori, Ginzburg,

Diolot and Martt, alone or in combination. As such, the Examiner is requested to withdraw

his rejection of claim 20 depending from claim 13.

In regard to Rejections of Claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner has rejected claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Obata, Emori, Ginzburg, Diolot, and further in view of Rudolph, U.S. Patent No.

4,934,306. The Applicants believe that the Examiner's rejection has been addressed and

overcome by the present amendment.

As previously discussed, at least some features of amended claim 13 are not taught by

Obata, Emori, Ginzburg, or Diolot, alone or in combination, which combination is not

admitted.

These deficiencies in Obata, Emori, Ginzburg, or Diolot are not remedied by

Rudolph, without admitting that Rudolph can be combined with Obata or Emori and

reserving the right to argue thereagainst in the future.

Rudolph mention a reel 174 coupled to a motor to control the speed of the immersion

process and subsequent drying but is silent as to the control of the tension of the film exiting

the immersion and drying process. Rudolph does not disclose a first and second encoder roll

and a winding roller having a driving means responsive to signals from the load cell of the

second tension roller for winding the film of reduced thickness under a controlled tension.

Therefore, at least one of the features of amended claim 13 is not taught by Obata,

Emori, Ginzburg, Diolot and Rudolph, alone or in combination, which combination is not

admitted. As such, the Examiner is requested to withdraw his rejection of claim 21

depending from claim 13.

Examiner: SUHOL, Dmitry

Page 8 of 9

Serial No.: 10/666,054

Art Unit: 3725 Examiner: SUHOL, Dmitry

Page 9 of 9 August 25, 2008

In view of the above remarks, the Applicant respectfully submits that all of the

currently pending claims are allowable and that the entire application is in condition for

allowance.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further is desirable to place the application

in a better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the

telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Alain Bernier, 59,289/

Alain Bernier, Reg. No. 59,289

Agent for the Applicant

Alain Bernier

10335 Laverdure

Montréal, Québec H3L 2L5

Canada

Tel. (514) 382-9161

Fax: (450) 655-4346